criminals have been deported/extradited to India from those countries with which India does not have extradition treaties.

(b) No, Sir. A request for deportation is made as per prescribed procedure by presenting the requisite evidence as may be required by the requested foreign country.

(c) and (b) Do not arise in view of (b) above.

Replacing existing Police Act, 1861

3749. SHRIMATI SHOBHANA BHARTIA:
SHRI VIJAY J. DARDA:

Will the Minister of HOME AFFAIRS be pleased to state:

(a) whether Home Ministry had summoned the Chief Ministers on December 30, 2006 for discussions on the Supreme Court judgement which makes it binding on States and the Centre to enact a new law replacing the existing Police Act, 1861 before the year end;

(b) if so, whether the Centre and the States have also been asked to file affidavits of compliance in the Apex Court on or before January third;

(c) if so, what was the outcome of the discussions held with the Ministers of States;

(d) whether any final agreement in this regard has been reached; and

(e) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS (SHRI SHRIPRAKASH JAISWAL): (a) Yes, Sir. A meeting was held with the Chief Ministers on 30.12.2006 to *inter-alia* discuss the Supreme Court Judgement, *vide* which the Apex Court had issued certain directions to be complemented by the State Governments and Union Territories administration, pending enactment of new Police Act by the States. The said directions had to be complied by 31.12.2006.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) to (e) In the meeting, the State Governments expressed their views differently on the issue. However, there was a general consensus on the need to frame a new Police Act for contemporary needs.